

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/063,907 05/22/2002		Christine J. Phillips	124078-1 (GP4-0022)	4392	
23413 7	590 08/15/2006		EXAMINER		
	OLBURN, LLP	FERRIS III, FRED O			
55 GRIFFIN R BLOOMFIELD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, -		2128		
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/063,907	PHILLIPS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Fred Ferris	2128		

			Fred Ferris	2128	
	The MAILING DA	TE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED FA	AILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	ANCE.	
this pla a F tim a)	s application, application in ces the application in dequest for Continue e periods: The period for reply on The period for reply e	ant must timely file one of the follow n condition for allowance; (2) a No ed Examination (RCE) in compliant expiresmonths from the mailin expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	n the same day as filing a Notice or wing replies: (1) an amendment, are pictore of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply many grade of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortilater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C nust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In
	Examiner Note: If box	1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH		
Extension		HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 ned under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	oo.u7(1). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	.136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been under 37 set forth in may redu	n filed is the date for pu CFR 1.17(a) is calculat n (b) above, if checked	rposes of determining the period of ex ed from: (1) the expiration date of the	dension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply oright than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The approprint of the fee.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filir	ig the Notice of Appo lotice of Appeal has	eal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t I within the time period set forth in	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. 🛛 Th	e proposed amendr	nent(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a)	🛚 They raise new is	sues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC	OTE below);	
		sue of new matter (see NOTE belomed to place the application in be	ow); tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)	— ''	litional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
		ntinuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
			21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
		overcome the following rejection(s)			
noi	n-allowable claim(s).		llowable if submitted in a separate		_
hov The Cla	v the new or amendo e status of the claim im(s) allowed:	ed claims would be rejected is pro (s) is (or will be) as follows: _·	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wilded below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected: <u>1 an</u> c				
Cla	im(s) withdrawn fror	n consideration:			
	IT OR OTHER EVI				
bed	ause applicant faile	ndence filed after a final action, buing to provide a showing of good an ed. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a North date of filing a North date of the affidate of the	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
ent	ered because the af	fidavit or other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 TI		evidence is entered. An explanatio	on of the status of the claims after	` , ,	•
			ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
		rmation Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. 🔲 0	ther:			July FO	2215 NER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants' amendment to claim 13 has overcome the previous 112 rejection relating to the multi-dimensional graphics component". However, the preamble recitation of "utilizing interface techniques including at least ONE of" remains sufficiently broad to "read on" the prior art as previously noted. Applicant's request for reconsideration of 112 rejections relating to "permissible relationships" is not persuasive since the arguments now appear to offer an alternate interpretation indicating that the wizard actually determines permissible relationships. However, there appears to be no clear teaching of specifically HOW the "wizard" determines the permissible relationships. Applicants 103 rejection arguments relating to the number of steps required by ChemSketch to create a chemical structure are not persuasive since there are no claimed limitations which would distinguish the number of steps required, or how "easily" components are attached in the language of the claims as currently presented.